

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Draft EIS/EIR is provided in three separately bound volumes as noted in this  
Table of Contents

## THIS VOLUME IS VOLUME I

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	S-1
S.1 OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT AREA.....	S-1
S.2 PURPOSE AND NEED.....	S-2
S.2.1 Project Purpose .....	S-2
S.2.2 Project Need.....	S-3
S.3 PROPOSED ACTION .....	S-12
S.3.1 Alternatives .....	S-12
S.3.2 Identification of a Locally Preferred Alternative .....	S-20
S.4 JOINT CEQA/NEPA DOCUMENT .....	S-21
S.5 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	S-22
S.5.1 Land Use .....	S-22
S.5.2 Growth .....	S-24
S.5.3 Farmlands and Timberlands.....	S-26
S.5.4 Community Impacts and Relocation.....	S-26
S.5.5 Utilities and Emergency Services .....	S-29
S.5.6 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities .....	S-30
S.5.7 Visual and Aesthetics.....	S-32
S.5.8 Cultural Resources .....	S-33
S.5.9 Hydrology and Floodplains.....	S-34
S.5.10 Water Quality and Storm Water Runoff .....	S-35
S.5.11 Geology, Soils, Seismic, and Topography .....	S-36
S.5.12 Paleontology.....	S-37
S.5.13 Hazardous Waste and Materials.....	S-37
S.5.14 Air Quality .....	S-38
S.5.15 Noise .....	S-39
S.5.16 Energy .....	S-40
S.5.17 Natural Communities .....	S-40
S.5.18 Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States.....	S-42
S.5.19 Plant Species .....	S-42
S.5.20 Animal Species .....	S-43
S.5.21 Threatened and Endangered Species.....	S-44
S.5.22 Invasive Species .....	S-45
S.5.23 Cumulative Impacts .....	S-45
S.5.24 Section 4(f) Properties.....	S-46
S.6 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS UNDER CEQA AFTER MITIGATION .....	S-47
S.7 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY AND UNRESOLVED ISSUES .....	S-48
S.8 COORDINATION WITH PUBLIC AND OTHER AGENCIES.....	S-48
<b>CHAPTER 1 PROPOSED PROJECT.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1-1

1.2	PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED .....	1-10
1.2.1	Project Purpose .....	1-10
1.2.2	Project Need .....	1-11
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND ALTERNATIVES .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY .....	2-1
2.2	ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT PROCESS .....	2-1
2.2.1	Development of Initial MCP Alternatives .....	2-5
2.2.2	Alternatives Refinement Process .....	2-11
2.3	MCP SEGMENT DESCRIPTIONS.....	2-19
2.3.1	Temescal Wash Area with Collector-Distributor Roads Segment.....	2-26
2.3.2	Lake Mathews South Segment .....	2-26
2.3.3	Lake Mathews North General Plan Segment.....	2-27
2.3.4	Lake Mathews South General Plan Segment.....	2-27
2.3.5	Mead Valley Segment .....	2-28
2.3.6	Far South Segment.....	2-28
2.3.7	Connector Perris 1 Segment .....	2-28
2.3.8	Connector Perris 3 Segment .....	2-28
2.3.9	Perris Drain Segment.....	2-29
2.3.10	Rider Street Segment .....	2-29
2.3.11	Placentia Avenue/Perris Boulevard Depressed Grade Segment.....	2-29
2.3.12	San Jacinto Segment.....	2-29
2.3.13	San Jacinto South Segment.....	2-30
2.3.14	Temescal Wash Area Segment Design Variation.....	2-30
2.3.15	Connector Perris 2 Segment Design Variation .....	2-30
2.3.16	Placentia Avenue/Perris Boulevard Elevated Grade Segment Design Variation .....	2-30
2.3.17	San Jacinto North Segment Design Variation .....	2-31
2.4	ALTERNATIVES .....	2-31
2.4.1	Alternative 1A: No Project/No Action—Existing Ground Conditions .....	2-33
2.4.2	Alternative 1B: No Project/No Action—General Plan Circulation Element Conditions.....	2-33
2.4.3	Alternative 4: South of Lake Mathews/North Perris (Drain) .....	2-33
2.4.4	Alternative 5: South of Lake Mathews/South Perris (at Rider Street).....	2-39
2.4.5	Alternative 6: General Plan North and South of Lake Mathews/North Perris (Drain) .....	2-45
2.4.6	Alternative 7: General Plan North and South of Lake Mathews/South Perris (at Rider Street).....	2-52
2.4.7	Alternative 9: Far South/Placentia Avenue .....	2-58
2.4.8	Design Variations .....	2-63
2.5	COMMON DESIGN FEATURES OF MCP BUILD ALTERNATIVES (ALTERNATIVES 4, 5, 6, 7, AND 9).....	2-65
2.5.1	Design .....	2-65
2.5.2	Typical Sections .....	2-65
2.5.3	Interchanges.....	2-66
2.5.4	Truck-Climbing Lanes.....	2-71
2.5.5	Bridges.....	2-71
2.5.6	HOV Lanes and Park-and-Ride Facilities .....	2-78
2.5.7	Retaining Walls .....	2-79

2.5.8	Sound Walls .....	2-79
2.5.9	Lighting .....	2-89
2.5.10	Landscaping .....	2-90
2.5.11	Fencing and Median Barriers .....	2-90
2.5.12	Runoff Management/Water Quality Best Management Practices (BMPs) .....	2-91
2.5.13	Grading .....	2-95
2.5.14	Changes to Local Circulation .....	2-96
2.5.15	Borrow Areas/Haul Routes .....	2-96
2.5.16	Project Design Features to Minimize Environmental Impacts .....	2-99
2.5.17	Phasing .....	2-102
2.5.18	Railroad Involvement .....	2-102
2.6	UNIQUE FEATURES OF MCP BUILD ALTERNATIVES .....	2-102
2.6.1	Alternatives 4 and 6 .....	2-102
2.6.2	Alternative 9 .....	2-103
2.6.3	Cajalco Road Closure Pursuant to MSHCP Section 7.2.3 (Alternatives 4, 6, and 9) .....	2-103
2.7	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES .....	2-105
2.8	IDENTIFICATION OF A LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE .....	2-105
2.8.1	Local Governments and Organizations .....	2-107
2.9	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND WITHDRAWN FROM FURTHER STUDY .....	2-107
2.9.1	Alternatives Formally Considered and Withdrawn .....	2-107
2.9.2	Other Alternatives Considered .....	2-108
2.10	PERMITS AND APPROVALS NEEDED .....	2-109
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION AND/OR MITIGATION MEASURES .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
	<i>HUMAN ENVIRONMENT</i> .....	3.1-1
3.1	LAND USE .....	3.1-1
3.1.1	Existing and Future Land Use .....	3.1-1
3.1.2	Consistency with State, Regional, and Local Plans .....	3.1-21
3.1.3	Parks and Recreational Facilities .....	3.1-35
3.2	GROWTH .....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.2-1
3.2.2	Affected Environment .....	3.2-1
3.2.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3.2-3
3.2.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.2-18
3.3	FARMLANDS/TIMBERLANDS .....	3.3-1
3.3.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.3-1
3.3.2	Affected Environment .....	3.3-1
3.3.3	Environmental Consequences .....	3.3-13
3.3.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.3-23
3.4	COMMUNITY IMPACTS .....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Community Character and Cohesion .....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Relocations .....	3.4-34
3.4.3	Environmental Justice .....	3.4-46
3.5	UTILITIES/EMERGENCY SERVICES .....	3.5-1
3.5.1	Affected Environment .....	3.5-1
3.5.2	Environmental Consequences .....	3.5-4

3.5.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.5-14
3.6	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION/PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES .....	3.6-1
3.6.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.6-1
3.6.2	Affected Environment .....	3.6-1
3.6.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-19
3.6.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.6-31
3.7	VISUAL/AESTHETICS .....	3.7-1
3.7.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.7-1
3.7.2	Affected Environment .....	3.7-1
3.7.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.7-51
3.7.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.7-76
3.8	CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.8-1
3.8.2	Affected Environment .....	3.8-2
3.8.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.8-11
3.8.4	Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.8-16
	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT .....	3.9-1
3.9	HYDROLOGY AND FLOODPLAINS .....	3.9-1
3.9.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.9-1
3.9.2	Affected Environment .....	3.9-2
3.9.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-12
3.9.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.9-36
3.10	WATER QUALITY AND STORM WATER RUNOFF .....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.10-1
3.10.2	Affected Environment .....	3.10-1
3.10.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-15
3.10.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.10-29
3.11	GEOLOGY/SOILS/SEISMIC/TOPOGRAPHY .....	3.11-1
3.11.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.11-1
3.11.2	Affected Environment .....	3.11-2
3.11.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-14
3.11.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.11-19
3.12	PALEONTOLOGY .....	3.12-1
3.12.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.12-1
3.12.2	Affected Environment .....	3.12-1
3.12.3	Fossil Localities.....	3.12-3
3.12.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.12-11
3.12.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.12-14
3.13	HAZARDOUS WASTE/MATERIALS .....	3.13-1
3.13.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.13-1
3.13.2	Affected Environment .....	3.13-2
3.13.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-38
3.13.4	Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.13-42
3.14	AIR QUALITY .....	3.14-1
3.14.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.14-1
3.14.2	Affected Environment .....	3.14-2
3.14.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.14-14
3.14.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures .....	3.14-28
3.15	NOISE .....	3.15-1
3.15.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.15-1

3.15.2 Affected Environment..... 3.15-5

3.15.3 Impacts ..... 3.15-51

3.15.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures ..... 3.15-55

3.16 ENERGY ..... 3.16-1

3.16.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.16-1

3.16.2 Affected Environment..... 3.16-1

3.16.3 Environmental Consequences ..... 3.16-3

3.16.4 Global Climate Change..... 3.16-6

3.16.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures ..... 3.16-11

**BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT** ..... 3.17-1

3.17 NATURAL COMMUNITIES ..... 3.17-1

3.17.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.17-1

3.17.2 Affected Environment..... 3.17-10

3.17.3 Environmental Consequences ..... 3.17-25

3.17.4 MSHCP Equivalency Analysis – Summary ..... 3.17-51

3.17.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures ..... 3.17-92

3.18 WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS ..... 3.18-1

3.18.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.18-1

3.18.2 Affected Environment..... 3.18-3

3.18.3 Environmental Consequences ..... 3.18-10

3.18.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures ..... 3.18-23

3.19 PLANT SPECIES ..... 3.19-1

3.19.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.19-1

3.19.2 Affected Environment..... 3.19-1

3.19.3 Environmental Consequences ..... 3.19-5

3.19.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures ..... 3.19-9

3.20 ANIMAL SPECIES ..... 3.20-1

3.20.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.20-1

3.20.2 Affected Environment..... 3.20-1

3.20.3 Environmental Consequences ..... 3.20-3

3.20.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures ..... 3.20-7

3.21 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES ..... 3.21-1

3.21.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.21-1

3.21.2 Affected Environment..... 3.21-2

3.21.3 Environmental Consequences ..... 3.21-5

3.21.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures ..... 3.21-21

3.22 INVASIVE SPECIES ..... 3.22-1

3.22.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.22-1

3.22.2 Affected Environment..... 3.22-1

3.22.3 Environmental Consequences ..... 3.22-2

3.22.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures ..... 3.22-3

3.23 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES OF  
THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT AND THE MAINTENANCE AND  
ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY ..... 3.23-1

3.23.1 Introduction..... 3.23-1

3.23.2 Environmental Consequences ..... 3.23-1

3.23.3 Conclusions..... 3.23-2

3.24 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF  
RESOURCES THAT WOULD BE INVOLVED IN THE  
PROPOSED PROJECT ..... 3.24-1

3.24.1 Build Alternative..... 3.24-1

3.24.2	No Build .....	3.24-2
3.25	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS .....	3.25-1
3.25.1	Regulatory Setting .....	3.25-1
3.25.2	Methodology .....	3.25-1
3.25.3	Identification of Resources/Issues to Consider for Cumulative Impacts .....	3.25-3
3.25.4	Identification of Cumulative Plans and Projects .....	3.25-6
3.25.5	Environmental Consequences .....	3.25-23
3.25.6	Summary .....	3.25-50

## VOLUME II

<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE UNDER CEQA .....	4-1
4.2	DISCUSSION OF SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACTS .....	4-2
4.2.1	No Impact .....	4-3
4.2.2	Less than Significant Effects of the Proposed Project .....	4-5
4.2.3	Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project that Can be Mitigated to Below a Level of Significance .....	4-17
4.2.4	Unavoidable Significant Environmental Effects .....	4-28
4.2.5	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes .....	4-37
4.2.6	Climate Change/Global Warming .....	4-37
4.3	ENERGY CONSERVATION (CEQA GUIDELINES, APPENDIX F) .....	4-46
4.4	MITIGATION MEASURES FOR SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS UNDER CEQA .....	4-47
4.5	ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE .....	4-48
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>COMMENTS AND COORDINATION .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	SCOPING PROCESS .....	5-1
5.1.1	Prescoping Meetings .....	5-1
5.1.2	Scoping Meetings .....	5-2
5.2	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION WITH PUBLIC AGENCIES .....	5-4
5.3	RESPONSES TO THE NOTICE OF PREPARATION .....	5-10
5.3.1	Summary of Major Issues/Comments in Response to the Notice of Preparation .....	5-10
5.4	RESPONSES TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF PREPARATION .....	5-16
5.4.1	Summary of Major Issues/Comments Received in Response to the Supplemental Notice of Preparation .....	5-16
5.5	RESPONSES TO THE NOTICE OF INTENT .....	5-21
5.5.1	Summary of Major Issues/Comments Received in Response to the Notice of Intent .....	5-22
5.6	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION .....	5-23
5.6.1	Public Participation .....	5-23
5.6.2	Meetings .....	5-23
5.6.3	Web Site .....	5-23
5.6.4	Newsletter .....	5-23
5.7	TRIBAL COORDINATION .....	5-24
5.7.1	Extended Phase I Survey .....	5-26

5.7.2 Phase II Evaluation ..... 5-28

5.7.3 Senate Bill 18..... 5-33

**CHAPTER 6** LIST OF PREPARERS ..... 6-1

**CHAPTER 7** DISTRIBUTION LIST..... 7-1

7.1 FEDERAL AGENCIES ..... 7-2

7.2 STATE AGENCIES ..... 7-3

7.3 REGIONAL/COUNTY/CITY AGENCIES ..... 7-4

7.4 TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS ..... 7-9

7.5 SCHOOL DISTRICTS ..... 7-10

7.6 SPECIAL DISTRICTS/UTILITIES ..... 7-10

7.7 INTERESTED PARTIES ..... 7-11

**APPENDIX A** CEQA CHECKLIST ..... A-1

**APPENDIX B** SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION ..... B-1

**APPENDIX C** TITLE VI POLICY STATEMENT..... C-1

**APPENDIX D** SUMMARY OF RELOCATION BENEFITS ..... D-1

**APPENDIX E** GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS..... E-1

**APPENDIX F** ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS RECORD ..... F-1

**APPENDIX G** LIST OF ACRONYMS..... G-1

**APPENDIX H** LIST OF TECHNICAL STUDIES ..... H-1

**APPENDIX I** CHAPTER 2 ATTACHMENTS ..... I-1

**APPENDIX J** SUPPLEMENTAL CHAPTER 5 ATTACHMENTS ..... J-1

**VOLUME III**

**APPENDIX K** REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (RTIP) ..... K-1

**APPENDIX L** UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE (USFWS) LETTER..... L-1

**APPENDIX M** FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS (FIRMS)..... M-1

**APPENDIX N** 404(B)(1) ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS ..... N-1

**APPENDIX O** REGIONAL SPECIES OF CONCERN AND COVERAGE UNDER HABITAT CONSERVATION PLANS..... O-1

**APPENDIX P** PARCEL ACQUISITIONS..... P-1

**APPENDIX Q** CONCEPTUAL MITIGATION PLAN FOR IMPACTS TO WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES..... Q-1

**APPENDIX R** REFERENCES ..... 1

**APPENDIX S** INDEX..... 1

**This page intentionally left blank**

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1.1 Regional Location .....	1-3
Figure 1.1.2 Hemet to Corona/Lake Elsinore Study Area .....	1-7
Figure 1.2.1 Circulation Element .....	1-13
Figure 1.2.2 Freeways and Other State Highways .....	1-19
Figure 1.2.3 Jurisdictional Boundaries .....	1-29
Figure 1.2.4 Related Transportation Improvement Projects .....	1-33
Figure 2.1.1 Project Vicinity and Study Area .....	2-3
Figure 2.2.1 Engineering and Environmental Constraints .....	2-9
Figure 2.3.1a Study Area Segments .....	2-21
Figure 2.3.1b Study Area Segments .....	2-23
Figure 2.4.1a Alternative 4 .....	2-35
Figure 2.4.1b Alternative 4 .....	2-37
Figure 2.4.2a Alternative 5 .....	2-41
Figure 2.4.2b Alternative 5 .....	2-43
Figure 2.4.3a Alternative 6 .....	2-47
Figure 2.4.3b Alternative 6 .....	2-49
Figure 2.4.4a Alternative 7 .....	2-53
Figure 2.4.4b Alternative 7 .....	2-55
Figure 2.4.5a Alternative 9 .....	2-59
Figure 2.4.5b Alternative 9 .....	2-61
Figure 2.5.1 Typical Cross Sections: Parkway Alternatives .....	2-67
Figure 2.5.2 Typical Cross Sections: General Plan .....	2-69
Figure 2.5.3 Typical Local Street Interchanges .....	2-73
Figure 2.5.4a Cut, Fill and Retaining Wall .....	2-81
Figure 2.5.4b Cut, Fill and Retaining Wall .....	2-83
Figure 2.5.4c Cut, Fill and Retaining Wall .....	2-85
Figure 2.5.4d Cut, Fill and Retaining Wall .....	2-87
Figure 2.5.5 Local Borrow and Disposal Sites .....	2-97
Figure 3.1.1 Existing Land Uses .....	3.1-3
Figure 3.1.2 Section 4(f) Properties and Recreation Resources .....	3.1-37
Figure 3.1.3 Alternative 9 with the Depressed Grade Design at Paragon Park .....	3.1-45
Figure 3.1.4 Alternative 9 With Elevated Grade Design at Paragon Park .....	3.1-47
Figure 3.2.1 Areas Targeted for Growth in the MCP Study Area .....	3.2-5
Figure 3.3.1 Designated Farmlands in MCP Study Area .....	3.3-3
Figure 3.3.2 Agricultural Preserves .....	3.3-15
Figure 3.4.1a Study Area Census Tracts 1990 .....	3.4-5
Figure 3.4.1b Study Area Census Tracts 2000 .....	3.4-7
Figure 3.4.2 Non-White Population 1990 and 2000 .....	3.4-11
Figure 3.4.3 Hispanic Population 1990 and 2000 .....	3.4-13
Figure 3.4.4a I-15/El Cerrito Interchange 2035 in Average Daily Traffic with Closure of Southbound On-Ramp and Northbound Off-Ramp .....	3.4-27
Figure 3.4.4b Commute Patterns of I-15/El Cerrito Road .....	3.4-29
Figure 3.4.5 Poverty 1990 and 2000 .....	3.4-49
Figure 3.4.6 Median Household Income 1990 and 2000 .....	3.4-53
Figure 3.5.1a Utilities/Emergency Services Facilities .....	3.5-5
Figure 3.5.1b Utilities/Emergency Services Facilities .....	3.5-7
Figure 3.6.1 LOS for Freeway Facilities .....	3.6-3
Figure 3.6.2 LOS for Signalized Intersections .....	3.6-5

List of Figures

Figure 3.6.3 General Plan Trails.....	3.6-17
Figure 3.7.1 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 2.....	3.7-9
Figure 3.7.2 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 3.....	3.7-11
Figure 3.7.3 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 4.....	3.7-15
Figure 3.7.4 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 7.....	3.7-17
Figure 3.7.5 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 10.....	3.7-19
Figure 3.7.6 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 14.....	3.7-23
Figure 3.7.7 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 17.....	3.7-25
Figure 3.7.8 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 18.....	3.7-29
Figure 3.7.9 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 19.....	3.7-31
Figure 3.7.10 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 22.....	3.7-35
Figure 3.7.11 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 23b.....	3.7-37
Figure 3.7.12 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 24.....	3.7-41
Figure 3.7.13 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 25.....	3.7-43
Figure 3.7.14 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 26.....	3.7-47
Figure 3.7.15 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 27.....	3.7-49
Figure 3.7.16 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 28.....	3.7-53
Figure 3.7.17 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 29.....	3.7-55
Figure 3.9.1 Watershed Boundaries .....	3.9-3
Figure 3.9.2a FEMA 100-Year Floodplain Overview Map .....	3.9-7
Figure 3.9.2b FEMA 100-Year Floodplain Overview Map .....	3.9-9
Figure 3.9.3 Temescal Wash .....	3.9-15
Figure 3.9.4 Cajalco Creek.....	3.9-19
Figure 3.9.5 Perris Valley Storm Drain.....	3.9-23
Figure 3.9.6 San Jacinto River Floodplain West of Lakeview Avenue.....	3.9-25
Figure 3.9.7 San Jacinto River at Mid County Parkway/State Route 79 Interchange.....	3.9-29
Figure 3.10.1 Surface Waters .....	3.10-3
Figure 3.10.2 Groundwater Basins.....	3.10-13
Figure 3.10.3a Proposed BMP Locations.....	3.10-19
Figure 3.10.3b Proposed BMP Locations.....	3.10-21
Figure 3.11.1 Landforms and Topographic Features .....	3.11-3
Figure 3.11.2 Fault Zones.....	3.11-7
Figure 3.12.1 Paleontological Resource Sensitivity Map.....	3.12-7
Figure 3.13.1 Hazardous Release Sites .....	3.13-17
Figure 3.14.1 SCAQMD Monitoring Network within the South Coast Air Basin.....	3.14-7
Figure 3.15.1 Monitored and Modeled Receptor Locations.....	3.15-7
Figure 3.15.2 Alternative 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 Modeled Sound Wall and Receptor Locations...3.15-57	
Figure 3.16-1: 2004 Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Sector (480 MMTCO <sub>2</sub> eq. Net Emissions).....	3.16-9
Figure 3.17.1a Western Riverside County MSHCP Public/Quasi-Public Lands and Criteria Area .....	3.17-5
Figure 3.17.1b Western Riverside County MSHCP Public/Quasi-Public Lands and Criteria Area .....	3.17-7
Figure 3.17.2a Lake Mathews and El Sobrante Landfill Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Areas and Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Reserves.....	3.17-11
Figure 3.17.2b Lake Mathews and El Sobrante Landfill Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Areas and Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Reserves.....	3.17-13
Figure 3.17.2c Lake Mathews and El Sobrante Landfill Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Areas and Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Reserves.....	3.17-15
Figure 3.18.1 Jurisdictional Delineation Reaches .....	3.18-5
Figure 3.21.1a Critical Habitat and Listed Species Occurrences .....	3.21-9

Figure 3.21.1b Critical Habitat and Listed Species Occurrences..... 3.21-11  
Figure 3.25.1 Cumulative Projects..... 3.25-13

**This page intentionally left blank**

## List of Tables

Table S.1 Summary of Impacts.....	S-49
Table S.2 Summary of Use Impacts to Section 4(f) Properties by Alternative .....	S-85
Table S.3 Evaluation of Net Harm after Mitigation.....	S-86
Table S.4 Permits and Approvals Needed .....	S-89
Table 1.1.A Preliminary Project Cost Estimate .....	1-9
Table 1.2.A Service Levels for Existing and Proposed Conditions.....	1-15
Table 1.2.B Accident Data on I-15 Mainline and Ramps .....	1-23
Table 1.2.C Accident Data on I-215 – Oleander Avenue to Nuevo Road .....	1-24
Table 1.2.D Summary of Accident History, Cajalco/Ramona Corridor, 2001 through 2003 .....	1-24
Table 2.4.A Mid County Parkway Segments Representing Each Build Alternative.....	2-32
Table 2.5.A Mainline MCP Service Interchange Configuration Types.....	2-72
Table 2.5.B Retaining Wall Summary by Alternative .....	2-79
Table 2.5.C Sound Walls by Alternative .....	2-89
Table 2.5.D Quantities of Potential BMPs to be Implemented in the Project Area.....	2-94
Table 2.5.E Earthwork by Alternative .....	2-95
Table 2.5.F Earthwork Truck Hours by Alternative .....	2-99
Table 2.7.A Cost Breakdown for the MCP Build Alternatives.....	2-105
Table 2.9.A Summary of Alternatives Withdrawn from Further Study .....	2-108
Table 2.10.A Permits and Approvals Needed.....	2-111
Table 3.1.A Existing Land Use Impacts by Alternative per Hectare (Acre) .....	3.1-17
Table 3.1.B Park and Recreational Facility Use Impacts and Consideration of Net Harm after Mitigation.....	3.1-42
Table 3.3.A MCP Study Area Farmland Acreages by Category .....	3.3-11
Table 3.3.B Form NRCS-CPA-106 Final Scoring.....	3.3-18
Table 3.3.C Impacts to Farmland per Hectare (Acre).....	3.3-19
Table 3.3.D Williamson Act Preserves .....	3.3-20
Table 3.4.A Ethnic Composition .....	3.4-10
Table 3.4.B Housing Profile .....	3.4-15
Table 3.4.C Employment in the Study Area Cities and the County Overall.....	3.4-19
Table 3.4.D Travel Patterns (2000).....	3.4-19
Table 3.4.E Full and Partial Displacements by Alternative .....	3.4-36
Table 3.4.F Number of Displaced Employees by Alternative and Jurisdiction.....	3.4-37
Table 3.4.G Property Tax Revenue Losses by Alternative and Jurisdiction.....	3.4-40
Table 3.4.H Revenue Losses by Alternative and Jurisdiction .....	3.4-42
Table 3.4.I Environmental Justice Considerations by Alternative.....	3.4-55
Table 3.5.A Utility Impacts .....	3.5-10
Table 3.6.A Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Freeway and Ramp Capacity Analysis: Interstate 15.....	3.6-9
Table 3.6.B Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 15 Area .....	3.6-10
Table 3.6.C Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Freeway and Ramp Capacity Analysis: Interstate 215.....	3.6-11
Table 3.6.D Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 215 Area .....	3.6-12
Table 3.6.E Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 15 to Interstate 215 Area .....	3.6-13

Table 3.6.F Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 215 to State Route 79 Area .....	3.6-15
Table 3.6.G 2035 MCP Mainline Eastbound Traffic Condition .....	3.6-21
Table 3.6.H 2035 MCP Mainline Westbound Traffic Condition .....	3.6-22
Table 3.6.I 2035 MCP Intersection Traffic Condition: Interstate 15 to Interstate 215 .....	3.6-23
Table 3.6.J 2035 MCP Intersection Traffic Condition: Interstate 215 to State Route 79 .....	3.6-24
Table 3.6.K Vehicle Miles Traveled within the MCP Study Area .....	3.6-27
Table 3.6.L Alternative 1B Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 15 to Interstate 215 Area .....	3.6-28
Table 3.7.A Key View Summary .....	3.7-7
Table 3.7.B Existing and Future Visual Quality .....	3.7-57
Table 3.8.A Eligible Cultural Resources in the Area of Potential Effects .....	3.8-5
Table 3.9.A Summary of Proposed Transverse and Longitudinal Floodplain Encroachments by Segment and Build Alternative .....	3.9-13
Table 3.10.A Beneficial Uses in the Santa Ana River, San Jacinto River, and Associated Tributaries and Lakes .....	3.10-9
Table 3.10.B Surface Water Quality Objectives for All Inland Waters .....	3.10-10
Table 3.10.C Maximum Concentrations (mg/L) Applicable to the Santa Ana and San Jacinto Rivers, Lake Mathews, and Lake Perris .....	3.10-11
Table 3.10.D Groundwater Quality Objectives (mg/L) .....	3.10-15
Table 3.10.E Change in Pollutant Concentration (mg/L) Compared to Existing Conditions .....	3.10-24
Table 3.10.F Change in Pollutant Loading (lbs/yr) Compared to Existing Conditions .....	3.10-24
Table 3.10.G Anticipated Total Metals Concentrations Compared with Water Quality Criteria .....	3.10-26
Table 3.11.A Active Faults in the Mid County Parkway Study Area .....	3.11-9
Table 3.11.B Cut-and-Fill Material by Alternative .....	3.11-16
Table 3.12.A Fossil Localities within the Mid County Parkway Study Area by Formation .....	3.12-4
Table 3.12.B MCP Build Alternatives in Relation to Sensitive Formations .....	3.12-13
Table 3.13.A Hazardous Substance Releases within the Proposed Right of Way (All Alternatives) .....	3.13-3
Table 3.13.B Hazardous Release/Use/Storage/Generators within 0.4 km (0.25 mi) of the Proposed Right of Way (All Alternatives) .....	3.13-5
Table 3.13.C Number of Hazardous Release/Use/Storage/Generators for Each MCP Build Alternative within 0.4 km (0.25 mi) .....	3.13-39
Table 3.14.A Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	3.14-5
Table 3.14.B Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Perris Air Monitoring Station .....	3.14-9
Table 3.14.C Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Lake Elsinore Air Monitoring Station .....	3.14-10
Table 3.14.D Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Riverside-Rubidoux Air Monitoring Station .....	3.14-11
Table 3.14.E Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the South Coast Air Basin .....	3.14-12
Table 3.14.F Daily PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions .....	3.14-16
Table 3.14.G Daily PM <sub>10</sub> Emissions .....	3.14-16
Table 3.14.H Vehicle Miles Traveled within MCP Study Area .....	3.14-24
Table 3.14.I Vehicle Miles Traveled within SCAG Region .....	3.14-24
Table 3.14.J MSAT Emissions for the MCP Study Area (lbs/day) .....	3.14-25

Table 3.14.K MSAT Emissions for the SCAG Region (lbs/day) ..... 3.14-25

Table 3.15.A Noise Abatement Criteria..... 3.15-3

Table 3.15.B Typical Noise Levels..... 3.15-3

Table 3.15.C Measured Existing Noise Levels..... 3.15-26

Table 3.15.D Existing and Predicted Future Noise and Wall Analysis ..... 3.15-29

Table 3.15.E Noise Monitoring Results..... 3.15-51

Table 3.15.F Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels..... 3.15-53

Table 3.15.G Summary of Abatement Key Information ..... 3.15-118

Table 3.15.H Feasible and Reasonable Sound Barriers ..... 3.15-125

Table 3.16.A Annual Transportation Energy Consumption in the SCAG Region  
for Base (Years as Indicated) ..... 3.16-2

Table 3.16.B MCP Study Area Daily Fuel Consumption Comparison ..... 3.16-4

Table 3.16.C SCAG Region Daily Fuel Consumption Comparison..... 3.16-4

Table 3.17.A Land Cover within the BSA..... 3.17-17

Table 3.17.B Permanent Impacts to Land Cover Categories..... 3.17-26

Table 3.17.C Permanent Impacts to Riparian/Riverine Areas ..... 3.17-27

Table 3.17.D Permanent Impacts to Other Natural Communities of Special  
Concern ..... 3.17-29

Table 3.17.E Impacts to Least Bell’s Vireo Habitat Suitable for Long-Term  
Conservation..... 3.17-33

Table 3.17.F Impacts to Habitat Suitable for Long-Term Conservation of Narrow  
Endemic Plant Species ..... 3.17-35

Table 3.17.G Impacts to Habitat Suitable for Long-Term Conservation of  
Additional Survey Species ..... 3.17-37

Table 3.17.H Impacts to MSHCP Criteria Area ..... 3.17-39

Table 3.17.I Impacts to MSHCP Cores and Linkages, ha (ac) ..... 3.17-41

Table 3.17.J Impacts to MSHCP Public/Quasi-Public Lands, ha (ac)..... 3.17-42

Table 3.17.K Total Impacts to Land Cover Categories within Lake Mathews  
MSHCP, ha (ac) ..... 3.17-44

Table 3.17.L Total Impacts to Land Cover Categories within the El Sobrante  
Landfill MSHCP, ha (ac) ..... 3.17-46

Table 3.17.M Total Impacts to Land Cover Categories within Stephens’ Kangaroo  
Rat Reserve, ha (ac) ..... 3.17-49

Table 3.17.N Temporary Impacts to MSHCP Riparian/Riverine Areas..... 3.17-52

Table 3.17.O Habitat Effects by MSHCP Vegetation Community, ha (ac) ..... 3.17-56

Table 3.17.P Impacts from Alternative 9 TWS DV on Reserve Features, ha (ac) ..... 3.17-66

Table 3.17.Q FRAGSTATS Comparison Table ..... 3.17-71

Table 3.17.R Ecotones within the Mid County Parkway Study Area..... 3.17-74

Table 3.18.A Summary of CDFG and USACE Jurisdictional Areas ..... 3.18-7

Table 3.18.B Wetlands Functions and Values ..... 3.18-8

Table 3.18.C Permanent Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Areas ..... 3.18-11

Table 3.18.D Area of Direct Impacts to CDFG Jurisdictional Areas per Land Use  
Type..... 3.18-13

Table 3.18.E Potential Direct Impacts to Waters of the United States and Riparian  
Ecosystems ..... 3.18-16

Table 3.18.F Sum of Normalized Rank Scores of All 15 Criteria ..... 3.18-17

Table 3.18.G Temporary Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Areas ..... 3.18-21

Table 3.18.H Temporary Impacts to CDFG Jurisdictional Areas by Land Use  
Category ..... 3.18-22

Table 3.21.A Impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species ..... 3.21-7

Table 3.25.A Cultural Resources Cumulative Impacts..... 3.25-36

*List of Tables*

---

Table 4.2.A SCREEN3 Input Parameters.....4-8  
Table 4.2.B Results of Health Risk Assessment Modeling.....4-9  
Table 4.2.C Summary of Historical Resources .....4-12  
Table 4.2.D Vehicle Miles Traveled within the SCAG Region .....4-43  
Table 4.2.E CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions within the SCAG Region .....4-44